

Nonlinear general relativistic corrections to redshift space distortions, gravitational lensing magnification and cosmological distances

Obinna Umeh¹, Chris Clarkson¹ and Roy Maartens^{2,3}

¹*Astrophysics, Cosmology and Gravity Centre, and, Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa.*

²*Physics Department, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town 7535, South Africa*

³*Institute of Cosmology & Gravitation, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 3FX, United Kingdom*

The next generation of telescopes will usher in an era of precision cosmology, capable of determining the cosmological model to percent level and beyond. For this to be effective, the theoretical model must be understood to at least the same level of precision. A range of subtle relativistic effects remain to be explored theoretically, and offer the potential for probing general relativity in this new regime. We present the distance-redshift relation to second order in cosmological perturbation theory. This relation determines the magnification of sources at high precision, as well as nonlinear aspects of redshift space distortions. We identify a range of new lensing effects, including: double-integrated and nonlinear integrated Sachs-Wolfe contributions, transverse Doppler effects in redshift space distortions, lensing from the induced vector mode and gravitational wave backgrounds, in addition to lensing from the second-order potential. Finally, we identify a new double-coupling between the density fluctuations integrated along the line of sight, and gradients in the density fluctuations coupled to transverse velocities along the line of sight. These can be large in certain situations, and so offer important new probes of gravitational lensing.

Introduction The main probe of cosmological models comes from the relation between the angular diameter or luminosity distance of a source and its redshift. At its most basic level this relation determines the parameters of the cosmological model, but when perturbations due to structure are included, a host of new physics effects is revealed. The most important of these is the magnification of sources from over-densities, which arises as an integrated effect along our past lightcone. Another important property is the distortion in redshift space due to the radial motion of sources relative to the Hubble flow. More subtle are the integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) terms due to the evolution of the potential along the line of sight, and the pure SW effect which arises from the potential difference between source and observer.

All of the known effects on the distance-redshift relation are calculated at linear order in perturbations [1]. Relativistic linear effects in other observables are also now being considered [2–4], and affect observables such as the correlation function of galaxies at the few percent level [5]. However, at second order other general relativistic effects must come into play. As structure evolves, the linear modes generate nonlinear ones, many of which are not present in Newtonian theory. Important examples of these are the induced vector and tensor modes whose spectra peak in power at the equality scale, around 100 Mpc [9, 10]. The full effect of such modes on the optical properties of the model are not known in detail, though there are partial results which include the distance-redshift relation in a pure dust model [6] and the lensing shear [7]. We present here the second-order distance-redshift relation for a Λ CDM model, and identify key new lensing effects, some of which will be

observable with the next generation of cosmological experiments. The derivation is very involved and will be presented in an accompanying paper.

nonlinear optical equations In a general space-time, the optical properties are governed by the Sachs equations. Lensing effects comes in several parts. The redshift of a source z is related to the affine parameter λ along a light ray via

$$\frac{dz}{d\lambda} = -(1+z)^2 \left[\frac{1}{3} \Theta + \sigma_{ab} n^a n^b \right], \quad (1)$$

where Θ is the volume expansion rate of cold matter, σ_{ab} is its shear, and n^a is the spatial direction of the light ray. Isotropic lensing (magnification), is determined via

$$\frac{d^2 D_A}{d\lambda^2} = - \left[\frac{1}{2} R_{ab} k^a k^b + 2\Sigma^2 \right] D_A \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d\Sigma_{\langle ab \rangle}}{d\lambda} = -\Sigma_{ab}\theta + N_{\langle e}{}^a N_{f \rangle}{}^c R_{abcd} k^c k^d. \quad (3)$$

Here, D_A is the area or angular diameter distance, k^a is a past-pointing null vector with expansion θ and shear Σ_{ab} , N_{ab} is the screen space metric, and angle brackets denote the trace-free part. The luminosity distance was calculated to second-order for a dust model in [6]. We expand and integrate these equations at second order in perturbation theory to determine redshift space distortions and lensing magnification to high precision in a perturbed FLRW model.

Second order perturbations For a flat background the metric in the Poisson gauge is

$$ds^2 = a^2 \left[-(1 + 2\Phi + \Phi^{(2)}) d\eta^2 + 2S_i dx^i d\eta + ((1 - 2\Phi - \Psi^{(2)}) \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j \right], \quad (4)$$

where we neglect first-order anisotropic stress and vector and tensor modes. The first-order potential is $\Phi = g(\eta)\Phi_0(\mathbf{x})$, where the growth suppression factor is determined from $g'' + 3\mathcal{H}g' + a^2\Lambda g = 0$, with initial conditions at the end of the radiation era giving $\Phi_0(\mathbf{x})$. The variables $\Phi^{(2)}$, $\Psi^{(2)}$, S_i , h_{ij} are second order, and are sourced by terms $\mathcal{O}(\Phi^2)$. The full solutions to the second-order potentials may be found in [8]; on small scales they are given by

$$\Phi^{(2)} = \Psi^{(2)} \simeq B_3(\eta)\nabla^{-2}\partial_i\partial^j(\partial^i\Phi_0\partial_j\Phi_0) + B_4(\eta)\partial^i\Phi_0\partial_i\Phi_0 \quad (5)$$

where $B_{3,4}$ consist of integrals over the growth suppression factor. On large scales they are no longer equal. The second-order vectors are determined from [9]

$$S_i = \frac{16}{3\mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m}\nabla^{-2}\left\{\nabla^2\Phi\partial_i(\Phi' + \mathcal{H}\Phi)\right\}^V. \quad (6)$$

where V denotes the vector contribution of the part in braces. These modes peak in power at the equality scale, and have the same spectrum as Φ below this scale, but with $\lesssim 1\%$ of the amplitude. Finally, the tensors are given by [10]

$$h''_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H}h'_{ij} - \nabla^2 h_{ij} = \left\{-16\Phi\partial_i\partial_j\Phi - 8\partial_i\Phi\partial_j\Phi + \frac{4}{\mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m}[\mathcal{H}^2\partial_i\Phi\partial_j\Phi + 2\mathcal{H}\partial_i\Phi\partial_j\Phi' + \partial_i\Phi'\partial_j\Phi']\right\}^T \quad (7)$$

where T denotes a tensor projection [11]. The induced GW background also peaks in power around the equality scale, and is surprisingly larger than the primordial background on these scales.

The cold matter 4-velocity u^a is

$$u^0 = \frac{1}{a}\left(-1 - \Phi - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{(2)} + \frac{3}{2}\Phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i v\partial^i v\right), \quad u_i = \frac{1}{a}\left(\partial_i v + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i v^{(2)} + v_i^{(2)}\right) \quad (8)$$

where v is the first-order velocity potential, given by $v = -2[3\mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m]^{-1}(\mathcal{H}\Phi + \Phi')$. The second-order velocity has scalar $v^{(2)}$ and vector $v_i^{(2)}$ contributions, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m[v_i^{(2)} + \partial_i v^{(2)}] &= \left[\frac{1}{6}\nabla^2 - \mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m\right]S_i \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{3}\partial_i[\mathcal{H}\Phi^{(2)} + \Psi^{(2)'}] \\ &+ \frac{4}{3\Omega_m}[(\Omega_m - 2)(\mathcal{H}\Phi\partial_i\Phi + \Phi'\partial_i\Phi) - (2 + 3\Omega_m)\Phi\partial_i\Phi'] \\ &- \frac{8}{9}\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m}(3\mathcal{H}\Phi'\partial_i\Phi' - \nabla^2\Phi\partial_i\Phi' - \mathcal{H}\nabla^2\Phi\partial_i\Phi), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which can be split into scalar and vector parts using a suitable projection in Fourier space. The density perturbation is given by $\delta = \delta\rho/\rho = 2[\nabla^2\Phi - 3\mathcal{H}(\Phi' + \mathcal{H}\Phi)]/a^2\rho$. The second-order density perturbation is given by

$$a^2\delta^2\rho = 2\nabla^2\Psi^{(2)} - 6(\mathcal{H}^2\Phi^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}\Psi^{(2)}) + 16\Phi\nabla^2\Phi + 6\partial_k\Phi\partial^k\Phi + 6\Phi'^2 + 24\mathcal{H}^2\Phi^2 - 6\mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m\partial_k v\partial^k v. \quad (10)$$

Redshift space distortions The observed redshift of a source to second order is

$$(1 + z_s) = \frac{k^a u_a|_s}{k^b u_b|_o} = (1 + \bar{z})\left\{1 + \delta z + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 z\right\} \quad (11)$$

where $\bar{z}$ is the redshift in the background, and the first-order contribution is

$$\frac{\delta z}{1 + \bar{z}} = (\partial_{\parallel} v_s - \partial_{\parallel} v_o) - (\Phi_s - \Phi_o) + 2\int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s}\Phi'd\lambda, \quad (12)$$

where λ is the affine parameter in the background, and $\partial_{\parallel} = n^i\partial_i$ where n^i is the direction to the source in the background. This consists of a Doppler term, a SW contribution from the difference in potentials at source and observer, and an ISW term. At second order we have similar contributions:

$$\frac{\delta^2 z}{1 + \bar{z}} = \text{Doppler} + \text{SW} + \text{Doppler} \times \text{SW} + \text{ISW}. \quad (13)$$

The pure Doppler terms consist of pure second-order contributions, and quadratic first-order terms, which include the transverse Doppler effect, and a new coupling between source and observer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Doppler} &= v_{\parallel s}^{(2)} - v_{\parallel o}^{(2)} + \partial_{\parallel} v_s^{(2)} - \partial_{\parallel} v_o^{(2)} + \partial_{\parallel} v_s \partial_{\parallel} v_s \\ &\quad + \nabla_{\perp k} v_s \nabla_{\perp}^k v_s - \partial_{\parallel} v_o \partial_{\parallel} v_o - \nabla_{\perp k} v_o \nabla_{\perp}^k v_o + \partial_{\parallel} v_o \partial_{\parallel} v_s. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Here, we have defined $v_{\parallel}^{(2)} = n^i v_i^{(2)}$ as the radial part of the vector mode of the velocity. The SW terms also contain pure and mixed contributions, which couple the potential at the source and observer:

$$\text{SW} = -\Phi_s^{(2)} + \Phi_o^{(2)} + 3\Phi_s^2 - \Phi_o^2 - 2\Phi_s\Phi_o - 32\Phi_s\Phi_o'(\lambda_s - \lambda_o). \quad (15)$$

There is a coupling between the Doppler terms at source and observer with the potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Doppler} \times \text{SW} &= 4(\Phi_o \partial_{\parallel} v_o - \Phi_s \partial_{\parallel} v_s) \\ &\quad - 2(\Phi_o \partial_{\parallel} v_s - \Phi_s \partial_{\parallel} v_o). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The ISW effect at second order is much more complicated, and consists of several contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ISW} &= \text{ISW}^{(2)} + \text{ISW} \times \text{SW} + \text{ISW} \times \text{ISW} \\ &\quad + \text{ISW} \times \text{Doppler} + \text{Integrated ISW} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where we have a pure second-order ISW contribution:

$$\text{ISW}^{(2)} = \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \left[(\Phi^{(2)'} + \Psi^{(2)'}) + S'_{\parallel} - h'_{\parallel} - 16\Phi\Phi' \right] d\lambda. \quad (18)$$

We have defined $h_{\parallel} = n^i n^j h_{ij}$ as the radial part of the tensor mode. Then we have the first-order ISW effect crossed with SW, ISW and Doppler terms:

$$\text{ISW} \times \text{SW} = \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \{32\Phi_o'\Phi + 8(\lambda_s - \lambda)\partial_i\Phi_o\partial^i\Phi$$

$$+ [12\Phi_o - 4\Phi_s - 16(\lambda_s - \lambda)\Phi'_o]\Phi' - 16(\lambda_s - \lambda)\Phi_o\Phi''\}d\lambda \quad (19)$$

$$\text{ISW} \times \text{ISW} = -8 \left[\int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \Phi' d\lambda \right]^2 \quad (20)$$

$$\text{ISW} \times \text{Doppler} = 4 (\partial_{\parallel} v_s - \partial_{\parallel} v_o) \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \Phi' d\lambda. \quad (21)$$

Finally, we have the double-integrated SW terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Integrated ISW} = & -16 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \Phi(\lambda) \left[\int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \Phi''(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 \right] d\lambda \\ & -8 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \left[\int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \Phi'(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \Phi''(\lambda_2) d\lambda_2 \right] d\lambda \\ & +8 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \left[\int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \partial_i \Phi(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \partial^i \Phi'(\lambda_2) d\lambda_2 \right] d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

These new effects contribute to the nonlinear redshift space distortions.

Distance-redshift relation The area distance to second order in perturbation theory is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_A &= \frac{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)}{(1 + \bar{z})} \left[1 + \frac{\delta D_A}{D_A} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 D_A}{D_A} \right] \quad (23) \\ &= \frac{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)}{(1 + z_s)} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\delta z}{1 + \bar{z}} + \frac{\delta D_A}{D_A} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\frac{\delta z}{1 + \bar{z}} \frac{\delta D_A}{D_A} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta^2 z}{1 + \bar{z}} + \frac{\delta^2 D_A}{D_A} \right) \right] \right\} \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

where the background area distance is

$$\bar{D}_A = \frac{1}{(1 + \bar{z})} \int_0^{\bar{z}_s} \frac{dz}{(1 + z)\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_o}{(1 + \bar{z})}. \quad (25)$$

The second equality in (26) is written in terms of the observed redshift z_s rather than the background redshift, which includes the corrections from redshift space distortions. The magnification, μ , is related to the determinant of the amplification matrix, $\mathbf{A}$, according to $\mu = 1/\det \mathbf{A}$. In terms of the shear, γ and convergence, κ , the magnification is given by $\mu = [(1 - \kappa)^2 - |\gamma|^2]^{-1}$. The determinant of the amplification matrix is proportional to the square of the area distance, $\det \mathbf{A} = D_A^2$, hence the magnification in terms of the observed redshift is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{(1 + z_s)}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \left\{ 1 - 2 \left(\frac{\delta z}{1 + \bar{z}} + \frac{\delta D_A}{D_A} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[\frac{\delta z}{1 + \bar{z}} \frac{\delta D_A}{D_A} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta^2 z}{1 + \bar{z}} + \frac{\delta^2 D_A}{D_A} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3 \left(\frac{\delta z}{1 + \bar{z}} + \frac{\delta D_A}{D_A} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Finally, the luminosity distance can be calculated from $D_L = (1 + z_s)^2 D_A$.

The first-order contribution to the area distance is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta D_A}{(1 + \bar{z})\bar{D}_A} = & -\Phi_s - 3\Phi_o + \frac{1}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} [4\Phi \\ & - 2(\lambda_s - \lambda)\Phi' - (\lambda_s - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_o)\nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi] d\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This expression consists only of potential terms, with the main contribution – the lensing term – being the last one. Note that the Doppler terms arise from the redshift factor when converting from background to observed redshift – see (26).

The second-order contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^2 D_A}{D_A} = & \text{Boundary} + \text{2nd-order ISW} + \text{2nd-order lensing} \\ & + \text{integrated shear} + \delta D_A \times \Phi + (\text{1st-order potential})^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the boundary terms are the corrections to the distance given by differences between the metric potentials at the source and observer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Boundary} = & -\frac{1}{2}(S_{\parallel s} + 3S_{\parallel o}) + \frac{1}{2}(h_{\parallel s} + h_{\parallel o}) \\ & -(\Psi_s^{(2)} + \Psi_o^{(2)} + 2\Phi_o^{(2)}) - 4\Phi_o(\Phi_s + \Phi_o). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The integrated pure second-order effects come in two parts. First the ISW terms are integrals over the metric potentials along the line of sight:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(2) \text{ ISW} = & \frac{1}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} [2\Phi^{(2)} + 2\Psi^{(2)} + 2S_{\parallel} - h_{\parallel} \\ & (\lambda - \lambda_s)(\Psi^{(2)'} + \Phi^{(2)'}) + \frac{1}{2}(-3\lambda_s - \lambda_o + \lambda)S'_{\parallel} \\ & + (2\lambda_s + \lambda_o - 3\lambda)h'_{\parallel}] d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Then we have the second-order lensing terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(2) \text{ lensing} = & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \frac{(\lambda_s - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_o)}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \\ & \times \nabla_{\perp}^2 [\Phi^{(2)} + \Psi^{(2)} + S_{\parallel} - h_{\parallel}] d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The shear of the matter along the line of sight gives an integrated contribution at second-order:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{integrated shear} = & \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \frac{a(\lambda)(\lambda_s - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_o)}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \times \\ & \left[\int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \nabla_{\langle i} \nabla_{j \rangle} \Phi(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \nabla^{\langle i} \nabla^{j \rangle} \Phi(\lambda_2) d\lambda_2 \right] d\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Next comes a contribution from an integrated coupling between the first-order distance, coupled to the potential

$$\begin{aligned} \delta D_A \times \Phi = & \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \frac{(\lambda_s - \lambda)}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \left[8 \frac{d\delta D_A}{d\lambda} (\Phi - \Phi_o) - 4 \frac{d\delta D_A}{d\lambda} \Phi' \right. \\ & + 8 \nabla_{\perp i} \left(\frac{d\delta D_A}{d\lambda} \right) \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \nabla_{\perp}^i \Phi(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 + 4\delta D_A' \frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} \\ & \left. + 4 \nabla_{\perp i} \Phi \nabla_{\perp}^i \delta D_A - 2\delta D_A \left(\frac{d^2 \Phi}{d\lambda^2} + \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi \right) \right] d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

This coupling integrates density fluctuations twice along the line of sight and is a key new effect for nonlinear lensing. Finally we have the terms which are integrals over the first-order potential:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{potential})^2 = & -2 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \frac{(\lambda_s - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_o)}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \left[\left(\frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} \right)^2 \right. \\
& + 2\Phi \frac{d^2\Phi}{d\lambda^2} - 4\Phi \frac{d\Phi'}{d\lambda} + 4\Phi_o \Phi'' + 2\Phi_o \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi \Big] d\lambda \\
& - 8 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \frac{(\lambda_s - \lambda)}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} [\nabla_{\perp k} \Phi - (\lambda - \lambda_o) \nabla_{\perp k} \Phi'] \\
& \times \left[\int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \nabla_{\perp}^k \Phi(\lambda_1) d\lambda_1 \right] d\lambda + \frac{8}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \\
& [(\lambda_s - \lambda)\Phi\Phi' - \Phi^2 - 2\Phi_o\Phi] d\lambda. \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

Conclusions We have presented for the first time the detailed distance-redshift relation to second order. We have identified key new terms which govern gravitational lensing magnification on large scales and for large over-densities. In addition we have presented new effects which contribute to redshift space distortions. These are:

nonlinear Doppler effect This comes in several forms.

The radial parts of the scalar and vector second-order velocities contribute in the same way as at first order. Then the terms $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$ reveal the transverse Doppler contribution in the cosmological context. While small, these give the potential to measure transverse velocities through redshift space distortions.

nonlinear density coupling From (32), when the first-order $\delta D_A(z_s)$ is substituted, we have a product of the first-order lensing term and gradients of the gravitational potential, which gives the dominant contribution to second-order lensing. By (32) this may be approximated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta D_A \times \Phi \approx & 2 \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda_s} \frac{(\lambda_s - \lambda)}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_o)} \times \\
& \int_{\lambda_o}^{\lambda} \left\{ -4(\lambda_s - \lambda)(\lambda - \lambda_o) \nabla_{\perp k} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi(\lambda) \nabla_{\perp}^k \Phi(\lambda_1) \right. \\
& + (\lambda_s - \lambda_1)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_o) [-2 \nabla_{\perp k} \Phi(\lambda) \nabla_{\perp}^k \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi(\lambda_1) \\
& \left. + \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi(\lambda) \nabla_{\perp}^2 \Phi(\lambda_1)] \right\} d\lambda_1 d\lambda \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

This double-integrated term has some very significant contributions. The first two terms couple

transverse derivatives of the density fluctuations to the transverse velocity integrated along the line of sight. These offer the potential to measure transverse velocities as these terms can be very significant. The final term in this integral is a coupling between all the density fluctuations along the line of sight, and in certain situations can easily be comparable to the main first-order lensing term.

While the other terms in the distance-redshift expansion are generally small, they offer a rich variety of new physical effects to be understood. In particular, there are many terms which contribute to an ISW-type of effect, but now it involves integrals over the scalar, vector and tensor potentials at second-order, as well as the first-order potential squared, together with its radial and tangential derivatives. Furthermore, we have also identified several instances of double integrated SW terms in both the redshift space distortions and in the distance-redshift relation. These may be important in further refining our understanding of dark energy.

-
- [1] C. Bonvin, R. Durrer and M. A. Gasparini, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 023523 (2006) [Erratum-ibid. D **85**, 029901 (2012)] [astro-ph/0511183].
 - [2] C. Bonvin and R. Durrer, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 063505 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5280 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [3] A. Challinor and A. Lewis, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 043516 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5292 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [4] D. Jeong, F. Schmidt and C. M. Hirata, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 023504 (2012) [arXiv:1107.5427 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [5] D. Bertacca, R. Maartens, A. Raccanelli and C. Clarkson, arXiv:1205.5221 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [6] E. Barausse, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 063537 (2005) [astro-ph/0501152].
 - [7] F. Bernardeau, C. Bonvin and F. Vernizzi, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 083002 (2010) [arXiv:0911.2244 [astro-ph.CO]].
 - [8] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, JCAP **0605**, 010 (2006) [astro-ph/0512481].
 - [9] T. H. -C. Lu, K. Ananda, C. Clarkson and R. Maartens, JCAP **0902**, 023 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1349 [astro-ph]].
 - [10] D. Baumann, P. J. Steinhardt, K. Takahashi and K. Ichiki, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 084019 (2007) [hep-th/0703290].
 - [11] K. N. Ananda, C. Clarkson and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 123518 (2007) [gr-qc/0612013].